# bsi.

3299047-Test Report.

## Test Report 3299047. Debar Ltd

Page 1 of 45 ....making excellence a habit.





This report has been prepared by David Vinyard and relates to the activity detailed below:

Job/Registratio	n Details	Client Details
Job number:	3299047	Debar Ltd
Job type:	Testing Samples Submitted	Concept House
Start Date:	20/10/2020	Brackenbeck Road
Test type:	Direct	Bradford
Sample ID:	10193629	BD/ 2LW
Registration:	NA	
Protocol:	NA	
Quality system:	NA	
Registration:	NA	
Protocol:	NA	
Quality system:	NA	

The report has been approved for issue by Mohamed Abukar – Subject Matter Expert



## Objectives.

Direct test

## Product Scope.

De-Wall aluminium bi-folding door sets

## Report Summary.

The samples were received on 13 October 2020 and the testing was started on 20 October 2020.

The samples submitted complied with the requirements of the test work conducted.



## BS4873:2016 Direct Test.

1 off three leaf open in glaze in fully glazed bi-fold door assembly with a standard threshold

(Sample ID No 10193629)

Date sample received: 13 October 2020

## Test Results.

1.	Air Permeability	The test sample met the requirements of the Specification, in respect of Clause 6, for Test Pressure Class 2.
2.	Watertightness	The test sample met the requirements of the Specification, in respect of Clause 7, for Test Pressure Class 1A.
3.	Wind Resistance	The test sample met the requirements of the Specification, in respect of BS6375-2:2009, for Exposure Category B2 (800Pa).
4.	Operational Strength	Test sample 1 met the requirements of the Specification in respect of BS6375-2:2009.
5.	Basic Security	Test sample 1 met the requirements of the Specification in respect of BS6375-3:2009.

## Classifications for Operational Strength.

Operating forces	Class 1
Vertical load	Class 2
Resistance to Static torsion	Class 2
Soft and Heavy body Impact Load bearing	Class 2
Hard body impact	Class 2
Load bearing capacity of safety devices	N/A
Closure against obstruction	Pass



## Sample Selection.

The sample submitted for tests was selected using the PCP Scheme Document Specification. The sample was submitted for test mounted in a 75mm x 100mm timber subframe in accordance with the manufacturer's installation requirements. The test sample was manufactured and supplied by the client, and the test results apply only to the sample as received. The results in this report are only valid for the conditions on which the testing was conducted and for the specified products only. Parts list supplied by client but not verified by BSI.

## Clause 5 Sequence of Tests.

The sequence of testing the sample followed that detailed in Clause 5 of BS6375-1:2015.

## Clause 5 Performance Requirements.

The performance of the sample was assessed against the requirements detailed in Table 1 Exposure Categories and Classifications.

The results contained within this test report are valid only for the conditions under which the tests were conducted and for the specific range of doorsets.



## BS:6375-2015 Methods of Test.

#### 1. **Operating Forces**

The operating forces acting on the sample were determined by the methods given in BS EN 12046-2:2000.

#### 2. Air Permeability

The air permeability of the sample was determined by the method given in BS 6375-1:2015.

#### 3. Watertightness

The watertightness of the sample was determined by the method given in BS 6375-1:2015.

#### 4. Wind Resistance

The wind resistance of the samples was determined by the methods (P1 and P2) given in BS 6375-1:2015.

#### 5. Repeat Tests

After testing for resistance to wind loading (P1 and P2) the air permeability test was repeated.

#### 6. Wind Resistance

The wind resistance of the samples was determined by the method (P3) given in BS 6375-1:2015.

#### 7. Resistance to Vertical Loads

The resistance to vertical loads test was carried out using the method given in BS EN 947:1999.

#### 8. **Resistance to Static Torsion**

The resistance to static torsion test was carried out using the method given in BS EN 948:1999.

#### 9. Soft and Heavy Body Impact

The resistance to soft and heavy body impact was carried out using the method given in BS EN 949:1999.

#### 10. Hard Body Impact

The resistance to hard body impact was carried out using the method given in BS EN 950:1999.



## Methods of Test (Continued).

#### 11. Closure Against Obstruction

The closure against obstruction was carried out using the method given in BS 6375-3:2009.

#### 12. Basic Security

The basic security test was carried out using the method given in BS 6375-3:2009.

Note - BS 6375:3:2009 not UKAS accredited



## Description of Sample. Sample 1

Sample Type -	Three leaf open in glaze in fully glazed bi-fold door assembly with a standard threshold			
Material -	Aluminium			
Construction -	Cleated			
Fittings -	<b>Master leaf</b> A four-point locking (two hook bolts, one shoot bolt and one dead bolt) FUHR espagnolette system, key lockable hoppe handle, 3* Yale cylinder and five pin hinges			
	<b>Two slave leav</b> Two rollers, two	<b>/es</b> shoot bolts and ten pin hin	ges	
Glass -	Double glazed 6	-14-6mm toughened glass s	sealed units	
Panel -	Not applicable			
Glass Retention System -	Internal beads a	nd gaskets		
Weathersealing -	Double-sealed p	lastic weather strip		
Sample dimensions -	Overall - Master Leaf - Slave Leaf's -	Length: 3770mm Length: 1210mm Length: 1210mm	Height: 2630mm Height: 2540mm Height: 2540mm	
Date of test -	20 October 2020	)		
Laboratory temperature -	18.8°C			
Laboratory humidity -	63.9%RH			
Atmospheric pressure -	98.1kPa			
Test engineers -	Jack Nicholls			



## Description of Test Sample.

Outer Frame width	3772 mm	Outer Frame	Aluminium	
		Material		
Outer Frame height	2616 mm	Outer Frame Gasket		
Outer Frame Part Num	bers	Gasket Type	EPDM	
Тор	DBE-002	Manufacturer	Debar Ltd	
Bottom	DBE-001	Product Name	Outer frame seal	
Lock Side	DBE-002; DBE-201	Product Code	DBA1-144	
Hinge Side	DBE-002; DBE-201	Threshold		
Outer Frame section d	imensions	Manufacturer	Debar Ltd	
Width	55.00 mm	Product name	N/A	
Depth	73.00 mm	Product Code	DBE-001	
Reinforcing:		Materials	Aluminium	
Manufacturer	N/A	Outer Frame Joint Method		
Product Name	N/A	Head	Mechanical corner	
			cleat	
Product code	N/A	Foot	Mechanical corner	
			cleat	
Material	N/A			

Leaf		Leaf Material:	Aluminium
Leaf Width:	1200 mm	Leaf Gasket	
Leaf Height:	2500 mm	Gasket type:	EPDM
Leaf Part Numbers:		Manufacturer:	Debar Ltd
Тор:	DBE-101	Product Name:	N/A
Bottom:	DBE-101; DBE-303	Product Code	DBA1-131R; DBA1-
			132; DBA1-134;
			DBA1-137; DBA1-143;
			DBA1-146
Lock side:	DBE-101; DBE-204; DBE- 206; DBE-304	Leaf Midrail:	N/A
Hinge Side	DBE-101	Manufacturer:	N/A
Leaf section size		Product name:	N/A
Width:	55.00 mm	Product code:	N/A
Depth:	73.00 mm	Material:	N/A
Reinforcing		Leaf joint method	
Manufacturer:	N/A	Head:	Mechanical corner
			cleat
Product Name:	N/A	Foot:	Mechanical corner
			cleat
Product Code:	N/A		
Material:	N/A		
Bead			
Manufacturer:	Debar Ltd		
Product Name:	28 mm Glass bead		
Product Code:	DBE-170		
Material:	Aluminium		
Bead Size:	21.00 x 19.00 mm		

## Description of Test Sample. (Continued)

Glazing Unit		Glazing Gasket	
Manufacturer:	N/A	Gasket Type:	EPDM
Inner Thickness:	6.00 mm	Manufacturer:	Debar Ltd
Spacer Material:	16.00 mm	Product Name:	N/A
Outer Thickness:	6.00 mm	Product Code	DBA1-135N; DBA1-
			136
Unit Sizes:	2414 x 1114 mm	Glazing Clip	
Glazing Tape Details		Manufacturer:	N/A
Manufacturer:	N/A	Product Name:	N/A
Product Name:	N/A	Product Code	N/A
Product Code	N/A		

Hardware	Product Code:	Supplier:	Fixings:	Quantity
Running Track:	DBPR-001	Debar Ltd	N/A	-
Hinges:	DBA1-350N; (DBA1-356N)	Debar Ltd	Provided with hinge	-
Multi Point Lock and	DBLK-50/RH or DBLK-50/LH	Debar Ltd	As per DeWall A1	-
Keep:	DBLK-52; DBLK-54; DBLK-		Fabrication manual	
	53/RH or DBLK-53/LH;			
Lever Handle:	DBHP-01	Debar Ltd	Provided with handle	-
Security Cylinder:	DBCY-50/503STAR	Debar Ltd	Provided with cylinder	-
Shoot Bolt Lock:	DBLK-06	Debar Ltd	N/A	-
Shoot Bolt Lock Cover:	DBLK-26	Debar Ltd	N/A	-
Shoot Bolt Handle:	DBHL-05	Debar Ltd	Provided with handle	-
Shoot bolt Cones:	DBA1-200/10mm	Debar Ltd	Provided with cones	-
Threaded Rods:	DB-45	Debar Ltd	N/A	-
Shoot Bolt Lock	DBCY-40/10	Debar Ltd	Provided with cylinder	-
Cylinder				
Additional Hardware:				
Bottom Roller:	DBA1-357/RH or DBA1-	Debar Ltd	Provided with roller	-
	357/LH			
Top Guide:	DBA1-358/RH or DBA1-	Debar Ltd	Provided with top	-
	358/LH		guide	
Anti-lift Blocks:	DBA1-205/BL	Debar Ltd	-	-
Fixing Blocks:	DBA1-107	Debar Ltd	Provided with blocks	-
Rebate End Caps:	DBA1-201	Debar Ltd	N/A	-
Plastic Inserts:	DBA1-106	Debar Ltd	N/A	-

Note – Parts list supplied by client but not verified by BSI





## Graph of Air Permeability Before Gusting.



## Table of Air Permeability Before Gusting.

#### AIR PERMEABILITY TEST RESULTS - BS EN 1026:2000 / BS EN 12207:2000

Air Pressure [Pa]	Blank reading [m <sup>3</sup> /h]	Maximum total air flow [m <sup>3</sup> /h]	Actual rate of air leakage [m <sup>3</sup> /h]	Rate of air leakage per meter length of opening joint [m <sup>3</sup> /h.m]	Rate of air leakage relative to area of sample [m³/h.m²]
50	10.1	33.2	22.4	1.12	2.26
100	17.0	63.5	45.2	2.26	4.56
150	22.6	92.3	67.8	3.40	6.84
200	27.8	124.1	93.6	4.69	9.44
250	33.7	163.1	125.9	6.31	12.70
300	41.6	209.4	163.1	8.17	16.46
450	58.3	414.3	346.2	17.34	34.93
600	77.5	807.3	709.7	35.56	71.61
-50	7.3	21.3	13.7	0.69	1.38
-100	11.4	31.8	19.8	0.99	2.00
-150	14.2	82.8	66.7	3.34	6.73
-200	17.1	99.7	80.4	4.03	8.11
-250	19.4	113.4	91.4	4.58	9.22
-300	21.4	126.9	102.7	5.14	10.36
-450	25.2	165.9	136.8	6.85	13.80
-600	29.9	203.8	169.1	8.47	17.06

Three positive pressure pulses of 660Pa were applied prior to testing

Total opening perimeter = 19.96m

Overall area = 9.91m<sup>2</sup>

- BS EN 12207:2000 Joint class = 1
- BS EN 12207:2000 Area class = 2
- BS EN 12207:2000 Overall class before gusting = 2





## Graph of Average Air Permeability Before Gusting.

Page 12 of 45 ....making excellence a habit.



## Table of Average Air Permeability Before Gusting.

#### AIR PERMEABILITY TEST RESULTS - BS 6375-1:2015 / BS EN 1026:2000

Air Pressure [Pa]	Average rate of air leakage [m <sup>3</sup> /h]	Average rate of air leakage per meter length of opening joint [m <sup>3</sup> /h.m]	Average rate of air leakage relative to area of sample [m <sup>3</sup> /h.m <sup>2</sup> ]
50	18.1	0.90	1.82
100	32.5	1.63	3.28
150	67.2	3.37	6.79
200	87.0	4.36	8.78
250	108.6	5.44	10.96
300	132.9	6.66	13.41
450	241.5	12.10	24.37
600	439.4	22.01	44.34

Three positive pressure pulses of 660Pa were applied prior to testing

Note: The figures in the table above give the leakage as an average of the leakage at positive pressure and the leakage at negative pressure

Total opening perimeter = 19.96m

Overall area = 9.91m<sup>2</sup>

BS 6375-1:2015 Clause 6.3 - Joint class = 2

BS 6375-1:2015 Clause 6.3 - Area class = 2

BS 6375-1:2015 Clause 6.3 - Overall class = 2



## Watertightness Test Results.

BS EN 1027:2000 Clause 7 watertightness before resistance to wind loads

#### TABLE 2 – Spraying method 1A

Pressure (Pa)	Point at which water leakage occurred
0	No leakage
50	Water leaked out and over the threshold at 2 minutes 50 seconds
100	-
150	-
200	-
250	-
300	-
450	-
600	-
750	-
900	-
1050	-

## Wind Load Resistance Test Results.

#### Clause 8 Resistance to Wind Load

#### P1 Deflection Test

Three positive pulses of 880Pa were applied.

No visible failures or functional defects of the test sample were observed after wind loads were applied at a positive air pressure of 800Pa.

Actual deflection - 8.80mm (maximum deflection allowed 12.40mm)

Deflection/span ratio 1/281 (maximum ratio allowed 1/200)

Three negative pulses of 880Pa were applied.

No visible failures or functional defects of the test sample were observed after wind loads were applied at a negative air pressure of 800Pa.

Actual deflection - 8.20mm (maximum deflection allowed 12.40mm)

Deflection/span ratio 1/302 (maximum ratio allowed 1/200)



## Wind Load Resistance Test Results. (continued)

Clause 8 Resistance to Wind Load (continued)

#### **P2 Repeated Pressure Test**

No visible failures or defects of the test sample were observed after 50 cycles of repeated wind loads were applied at a positive air pressure of 400Pa.

No visible failures or defects of the test sample were observed after 50 cycles of repeated wind loads were applied at a negative air pressure of 400Pa.

In accordance with BS 6375-1:2015 clause 6.5, as the classification after the resistance to wind load tests is the same as the classification before the resistance to wind load tests, the resulting classification for the sample is Class B2.

Date of test - 20 October 2020Atmospheric pressure - 63.9kPa

Laboratory temperature – 18.8°C Test engineers - Jack Nicholls

Laboratory humidity – 98.1%RH



## Graph of Air Permeability After Gusting. (including +20% lines for each class)



...making excellence a habit. Page 16 of 45



## Table of Air Permeability After Gusting.

#### AIR PERMEABILITY TEST RESULTS - BS EN 1026:2000 / BS EN 12207:2000

Air Pressure [Pa]	Blank reading [m <sup>3</sup> /h]	Maximum total air flow [m³/h]	Actual rate of air leakage [m <sup>3</sup> /h]	Maximum rate of air leakage per meter length of opening joint [m <sup>3</sup> /h.m]	Maximum rate of air leakage relative to area of sample [m <sup>3</sup> /h.m <sup>2</sup> ]
50	10.2	35.4	24.5	1.23	2.48
100	18.2	67.8	48.3	2.42	4.87
150	23.6	95.4	69.8	3.50	7.04
200	28.4	129.1	97.9	4.91	9.88
250	36.0	168.0	128.4	6.43	12.95
300	44.5	209.4	160.3	8.03	16.17
450	60.4	425.9	355.4	17.81	35.86
600	80.1	850.4	749.0	37.53	75.58
-50	8.3	23.6	14.9	0.75	1.51
-100	14.0	35.7	21.1	1.06	2.13
-150	15.5	87.0	69.5	3.48	7.02
-200	19.5	105.7	83.8	4.20	8.46
-250	21.8	120.3	95.8	4.80	9.67
-300	26.9	130.4	100.7	5.04	10.16
-450	27.4	170.1	138.7	6.95	13.99
-600	31.7	207.6	171.1	8.57	17.26

Three positive pressure pulses of 660Pa were applied prior to testing

Total opening perimeter = 19.96m

Overall area = 9.91m<sup>2</sup>

For classification to BS EN 12210:2000 - Section 6.1: Resistance to wind load, the change in air permeability due to the wind pressure and repeated pressure tests has not exceeded the declared class (4) by more than 20%.





Graph of Average Air Permeability After Gusting.



## Table of Average Air Permeability After Gusting.

#### AIR PERMEABILITY TEST RESULTS - BS 6375-1:2015 / BS EN 1026:2000

Air Pressure [Pa]	Average rate of air leakage [m <sup>3</sup> /h]	Average rate of air leakage per meter length of opening joint [m <sup>3</sup> /h.m]	Average rate of air leakage relative to area of sample [m <sup>3</sup> /h.m <sup>2</sup> ]
50	19.7	0.99	1.99
100	34.7	1.74	3.50
150	69.7	3.49	7.03
200	90.9	4.55	9.17
250	112.1	5.62	11.31
300	130.5	6.54	13.17
450	247.0	12.38	24.93
600	460.1	23.05	46.42

Three positive pressure pulses of 660Pa were applied prior to testing

Note: The figures in the table above give the leakage as an average of the leakage at positive pressure and the leakage at negative pressure

Total opening perimeter = 19.96m

Overall area = 9.91m<sup>2</sup>

BS 6375-1:2015 Clause 6.5 - Joint class = 1

BS 6375-1:2015 Clause 6.5 - Area class = 2

BS 6375-1:2015 Clause 6.5 - Overall class = 2

In accordance with BS 6375-1:2015 Clause 6.5, as the classification after the resistance to wind load tests is the same as the classification before the resistance to wind load tests, the resulting classification for the sample is Class 2.



## Wind Load Resistance Test Results. (continued)

Clause 8 Resistance to Wind Load (continued)

#### P3 Safety Test

No parts of the test sample became detached and the test sample remained closed after a wind load safety test was applied at a positive air pressure of 1200Pa.

No parts of the test sample became detached and the test sample remained closed after a wind load safety test was applied at a negative air pressure of 1200Pa.

Date of test - 20 October 2020Atmospheric pressure - 63.9kPaLaboratory temperature - 18.8°CTest engineers - Jack Nicholls

Laboratory humidity – 98.1%RH



BS 6375-2:2009.

#### 3299047-Test Report.

Clause 6.2 Operating Forces: EN12046-2:2000 and EN12217:2015 (Class 1)	Assessment
The sample was tested three times – closing the leaf, lifting the handle, locking the key, unlocking the key, opening the handle and opening the leaf – and the average force recorded	
Closing leaf force – 51.68N (maximum 75N)	Pass
Handle closing – 41.85N (maximum 100N)	Pass
Key Torque to lock – < 1.00 Nm (maximum 5Nm)	Pass
Key Torque to unlock – < 1.00 Nm (maximum 5Nm)	Pass
Handle opening – 36.78N (maximum 100N)	Pass
Force to maintain opening – 45.55N (maximum 100N)	Pass

#### Clause 6.3.1 Vertical Load (Class 2)

All loads were applied and removed in maximum increments of 100N.

The diagonal measurement of the door was taken to the nearest 1mm (bottom hinge side corner to top lock side corner).

A pre-load of  $200 \pm 4N$  was applied vertically to the top corner of the lock side of the door leaf, at  $50 \pm 5$ mm from the opening edge and maintained for  $60 \pm 5$  seconds. The load was then removed, and the sample left to rest for  $60 \pm 5$  seconds.

The gauge was zeroed before a 600N load (Class 2) was applied to the same loading point for  $300 \pm 5$  seconds, and the maximum deformation was measured.

The load was removed and after  $180 \pm 5$  seconds the residual deflection and diagonal measurements were taken.

Initial diagonal measurement – 2800mm

Maximum deformation – 2.20 mm

Residual deformation – 0.77 mm

Final diagonal measurement – 2800mm

For the door to pass the residual deformation must not exceed 1.0mm



Assessment

Pass

Pass

## BS 6375-2:2009. Continued

#### Clause 6.3.2 Resistance to Static Torsion (Class 2)

All loads were applied and removed in maximum increments of 100N.

The door leaf was opened to 90° then fixed at the top lock side corner,  $50 \pm 5$ mm from the edge.

A pre-load of  $200 \pm 4N$  was applied horizontally and normally to the plane of the leaf at the lower lock side corner,  $50 \pm 5$  mm from the edge and maintained for  $60 \pm 5$  seconds.

After one minute the gauge was zeroed and a load of 250N (Class 2) was applied for  $300 \pm 5$  seconds before the maximum deformation was measured. The load was then removed, and the sample left to rest for  $180 \pm 5$  seconds before the residual deformation was measured.

Maximum deformation – 93.70mm

Residual deformation – 0.0mm

For the door to pass the residual deformation must not exceed 2.0mm

### Clause 6.3.3 Soft and Heavy Body Impact (Class 2)

The door was closed to its normal operating mode and the sample was marked at the centre of the door leaf.

The deviation across the width of the door was measured at the impact point.

A  $30\pm0.6$ kg leather impactor was raised to the required drop height and impacted three times to each face, and the deviation was measured again.

For the door to achieve the required class it shall not exceed 2mm residual measurement.

Residual measurement – 0.10mm

#### Clause 6.3.4 Hard Body Impact (Class 2)

The door leaf was mounted horizontally with rigid supports under the long edges of the leaf and pattern 2 was selected. Glazed impact points were omitted, and the exterior face was impacted.

If permanent damage is left after impact, measurements are taken after 30 minutes.

Mean of the diameter – 1.00mm

Mean of the depth – 0.10mm

The mean to qualify for a class shall not exceed 20mm, and the mean for the depth shall not exceed 1.0mm.



## BS 6375-2:2009. Continued

#### **Closure Against Obstruction**

The objective of this test is to determine the resistance of a door set to closure of the door leaf against small objects such as toys which may be accidentally trapped between the leaf and the frame.

A 50 x 50 x 10mm aluminium block was placed in the gap between the leaf and the bottom of the hinge side jamb.

A 200N force was applied to the lock side of the leaf and held for  $15 \pm 5$  seconds.

The leaf was then opened and closed five time and the operating forces were repeated.

Clause 6.2 Operating Forces: EN12046-2:2000 and EN12217:2015 (Class 1)	Assessment
The sample was tested three times – closing the leaf, lifting the handle, locking the key, unlocking the key, opening the handle and opening the leaf – and the average force recorded	
Closing leaf force – 56.63N (maximum 75N)	Pass
Handle closing – 45.30N (maximum 100N)	Pass
Key Torque to lock – $< 1.00$ Nm (maximum 5Nm)	Pass
Key Torque to unlock – < 1.00 Nm (maximum 5Nm)	Pass
Handle opening – 39.65N (maximum 100N)	Pass
Force to maintain opening – 50.80N (maximum 100N)	Pass



## Basic Security (Annex A).

BS 6375-3:2009

The objective of this test is to establish if, from the outside, entry can be gained by defeating the glazing or locking system.

The force used did not result in permanent set or plastic deformation of any tool.

Damaged tools shall be replaced. The test did not exceed the maximum three-minute time period.

The screwdriver was used to no effect.

No entry gained within three minutes.

Date of test - 20 October 2020

Atmospheric pressure – 63.9kPa

Laboratory temperature – 18.8°C Test engineers - Jack Nicholls

Laboratory humidity - 98.1%RH

3299047-Test Report.

Assessment



## PAS24:2016 Direct Test.

1 off three leaf open in glaze in fully glazed bi-fold door assembly with a standard threshold

(Sample ID No 10193629)

Date sample received: 13 October 2020

## Test Results.

1.	Manipulation	The test sample met the requirements of the Specification in respect of B.4.3
2.	Infill Removal	The test sample met the requirements of the Specification in respect of B.4.4
3.	Mechanical Loading	The test sample met the requirements of the Specification in respect of B.4.5
4.	Manual Check Test	The test sample met the requirements of the Specification in respect of B.4.6
5.	Soft Body Impact	The test sample met the requirements of the Specification in respect of B.4.8
6.	Hard Body Impact	The test sample met the requirements of the Specification in respect of B.4.9.2.2
7.	Security Hardware and Cylinder Test	The test sample met the requirements of the Specification in respect of Annex A

## B.2 Sample Selection.

The sample submitted for tests was selected using the criteria in B.2 of the Specification. The sample was submitted for test mounted in a 75mm x 100mm timber subframe in accordance with the manufacturer's installation requirements. The test sample was manufactured by the client.

## B.3 Requirements for Test Apparatus.

The test apparatus for the manual and mechanical tests is shown in figures B.2 to B.5.

## B.4 Test Methods.

The method of testing the sample followed the sequence detailed in B.4 of the Specification.



## Description of Sample. Sample 2

Sample Type -	Three leaf oper	n in glaze in fully glazed bi-	fold door assembly with a standard threshold
Material -	Aluminium		
Construction -	Cleated		
Fittings -	<ul> <li>Master leaf</li> <li>A four-point locking (two hook bolts, one shoot bolt and one dead bolt) FUHR espagnolette system, key lockable hoppe handle, 3* Yale cylinder and five pin hinges</li> <li>Two slave leaves</li> <li>Two rollers, two shoot bolts and ten pin hinges</li> </ul>		
Classification -	D		
Glass -	Double glazed 6-14-6mm toughened glass sealed units		
Panel -	Not applicable		
Glass Retention System -	Internal beads	and gaskets	
Weathersealing -	Double-sealed	plastic weather strip	
Sample dimensions -	Overall - Master Leaf - Slave Leaf's -	Length: 3770mm Length: 1210mm Length: 1210mm	Height: 2630mm Height: 2540mm Height: 2540mm
Date of test -	20 October 202	0	



## Description of Test Sample.

Outer Frame width	3772 mm	Outer Frame Material	Aluminium
Outer Frame height	2616 mm	Outer Frame Gasket	
Outer Frame Part Numbers		Gasket Type	EPDM
Тор	DBE-002	Manufacturer	Debar Ltd
Bottom	DBE-001	Product Name	Outer frame seal
Lock Side	DBE-002; DBE-201	Product Code	DBA1-144
Hinge Side	DBE-002; DBE-201	Threshold	
Outer Frame section dimensions		Manufacturer	Debar Ltd
Width	55.00 mm	Product name	N/A
Depth	73.00 mm	Product Code	DBE-001
Reinforcing:		Materials	Aluminium
Manufacturer	N/A	<b>Outer Frame Joint Me</b>	thod
Product Name	N/A	Head	Mechanical corner
			cleat
Product code	N/A	Foot	Mechanical corner
			cleat
Material	N/A		

Leaf		Leaf Material:	Aluminium
Leaf Width:	1200 mm	Leaf Gasket	
Leaf Height:	2500 mm	Gasket type:	EPDM
Leaf Part Numbers:		Manufacturer:	Debar Ltd
Тор:	DBE-101	Product Name:	N/A
Bottom:	DBE-101; DBE-303	Product Code	DBA1-131R; DBA1-
			132; DBA1-134;
			DBA1-137; DBA1-143;
			DBA1-146
Lock side:	DBE-101; DBE-204; DBE- 206; DBE-304	Leaf Midrail:	N/A
Hinge Side	DBE-101	Manufacturer:	N/A
Leaf section size		Product name:	N/A
Width:	55.00 mm	Product code:	N/A
Depth:	73.00 mm	Material:	N/A
Reinforcing		Leaf joint method	
Manufacturer:	N/A	Head:	Mechanical corner
			cleat
Product Name:	N/A	Foot:	Mechanical corner
			cleat
Product Code:	N/A		
Material:	N/A		
Bead			
Manufacturer:	Debar Ltd		
Product Name:	28 mm Glass bead		
Product Code:	DBE-170		
Material:	Aluminium		
Bead Size:	21.00 x 19.00 mm		

## Description of Test Sample. (Continued)

Glazing Unit		Glazing Gasket	
Manufacturer:	N/A	Gasket Type:	EPDM
Inner Thickness:	6.00 mm	Manufacturer:	Debar Ltd
Spacer Material:	16.00 mm	Product Name:	N/A
Outer Thickness:	6.00 mm	Product Code	DBA1-135N; DBA1-
			136
Unit Sizes:	2414 x 1114 mm	Glazing Clip	
Glazing Tape Details		Manufacturer:	N/A
Manufacturer:	N/A	Product Name:	N/A
Product Name:	N/A	Product Code	N/A
Product Code	N/A		

Hardware	Product Code:	Supplier:	Fixings:	Quantity
Running Track:	DBPR-001	Debar Ltd	N/A	-
Hinges:	DBA1-350N; (DBA1-356N)	Debar Ltd	Provided with hinge	-
Multi Point Lock and	DBLK-50/RH or DBLK-50/LH	Debar Ltd	As per DeWall A1	-
Keep:	DBLK-52; DBLK-54; DBLK-		Fabrication manual	
	53/RH or DBLK-53/LH;			
Lever Handle:	DBHP-01	Debar Ltd	Provided with handle	-
Security Cylinder:	DBCY-50/503STAR	Debar Ltd	Provided with cylinder	-
Shoot Bolt Lock:	DBLK-06	Debar Ltd	N/A	-
Shoot Bolt Lock Cover:	DBLK-26	Debar Ltd	N/A	-
Shoot Bolt Handle:	DBHL-05	Debar Ltd	Provided with handle	-
Shoot bolt Cones:	DBA1-200/10mm	Debar Ltd	Provided with cones	-
Threaded Rods:	DB-45	Debar Ltd	N/A	-
Shoot Bolt Lock	DBCY-40/10	Debar Ltd	Provided with cylinder	-
Cylinder				
Additional Hardware:				
Bottom Roller:	DBA1-357/RH or DBA1-	Debar Ltd	Provided with roller	-
	357/LH			
Top Guide:	DBA1-358/RH or DBA1-	Debar Ltd	Provided with top	-
	358/LH		guide	
Anti-lift Blocks:	DBA1-205/BL	Debar Ltd	-	-
Fixing Blocks:	DBA1-107	Debar Ltd	Provided with blocks	-
Rebate End Caps:	DBA1-201	Debar Ltd	N/A	-
Plastic Inserts:	DBA1-106	Debar Ltd	N/A	-

Note – Parts list supplied by client but not verified by BSI

# bsi.

## Test Results.

### Performance Requirements

#### B.4.3 Manipulation Test A

The sample was mounted, vertically and square, in the test rig as described in B.3.1.

The test was carried out in accordance with the given objective of this Annex using the procedure detailed in B.4.3.1 and the tools described in Group A and Group B where applicable.

The sample was closed and locked and the key removed. Although there is a 15 minute overall time limit no one technique was used for more than three minutes.

No tools effective.

No entry gained by any technique within three minutes.

Date of test – 20 October 2020 Test engineer(s) – Errol Creary, Dave Vinyard and Jack Nicholls Laboratory temperature – 20.9°C

#### B.4.4 Cutting and Infill Medium Removal Test

#### B.4.4.2 Infill Manual Test

The sample was mounted, vertically and square, in the test rig as described in B.3.1.

The test was carried out in accordance with the requirements of this Annex using the tools described in Group A and Group B where applicable.

A craft knife was used to cut the gasket

No entry gained within three minutes.

Date of test – 20 October 2020 Test engineer(s) – Errol Creary, Dave Vinyard and Jack Nicholls Laboratory temperature – 20.9°C Pass

3299047-Test Report.

Assessment



## Performance Requirements (Continued).

## B.4.4.3 Infill Mechanical Test

The sample was mounted, vertically and square, in the test rig as described in B.3.1.

The test was carried out with a perpendicular-to-plane load of 2.0kN applied to each corner of the glazing.

No evidence of bead failure. No entry gained.

Date of test – 20 October 2020 Test engineer(s) – Errol Creary, Dave Vinyard and Jack Nicholls Laboratory temperature –  $20.9^{\circ}C$ 

### B.4.4.4 Manual Cutting Test

Not applicable

Assessment

3299047-Test Report.



## Test Results (Continued).

Performance Requirements (Continued).

## B.4.5 Mechanical Loading Test

The sample was mounted, vertically and square, in the test rig.

The test was carried out in accordance with the procedures detailed in B.4.5, using loading cases B.1 to B.6 and Figures B.12 for loading sequence, and using the test apparatus detailed in Figures B.6 to B.6.



#### B.4.5.2 Loading Procedure

#### First Sequence

1. Hinge (upper right jamb)

Standard loading case used: 1

Load applied in plane: 1.5kN at right angles to the edge and towards the opposite edge Load applied perpendicular to plane: 4.5kN applied for ten seconds

2. Hinge (upper right jamb)

Standard loading case used: 1

Load applied in plane: 1.5kN at right angles to the edge and towards the opposite edge Load applied perpendicular to plane: 4.5kN applied for ten seconds

3. Hinge (centre right jamb)

Standard loading case used: 1

Load applied in plane: 1.5kN at right angles to the edge and towards the opposite edge Load applied perpendicular to plane: 4.5kN applied for ten seconds

4. Hinge (lower right jamb)

Standard loading case used: 1

Load applied in plane: 1.5kN at right angles to the edge and towards the opposite edge Load applied perpendicular to plane: 4.5kN applied for ten seconds

5. Hinge (lower right jamb)

Standard loading case used: 1

Load applied in plane: 1.5kN at right angles to the edge and towards the opposite edge Load applied perpendicular to plane: 4.5kN applied for ten seconds



### B.4.5.2 Loading Procedure (continued)

#### First Sequence (continued)

6. Roller (threshold of slave leaf)

Standard loading case used: 11

Load applied in plane: 1.5kN at right angles to the edge and towards the opposite edge Load applied perpendicular to plane: 4.5kN applied for ten seconds

7. Hook Bolt (lower master leaf)

Standard loading case used: 8

Load applied in plane: 1.5kN along the edge in the direction to disengage the bolt Load applied perpendicular to plane: 4.5kN applied for ten seconds

Load applied in plane: 1.5kN at right angles to the edge and towards the opposite edge 1.5kN to oppose the above load

Load applied perpendicular to plane: 4.5kN applied for ten seconds

8. Dead Bolt (centre master leaf)

Standard loading case used: 8

Load applied in plane: 1.5kN at right angles to the edge and towards the opposite edge 1.5kN to oppose the above load

Load applied perpendicular to plane: 4.5kN applied for ten seconds

9. Hook Bolt (upper master leaf)

Standard loading case used: 8

Load applied in plane: 1.5kN along the edge in the direction to disengage the bolt Load applied perpendicular to plane: 4.5kN applied for ten seconds

Load applied in plane: 1.5kN at right angles to the edge and towards the opposite edge 1.5kN to oppose the above load

Load applied perpendicular to plane: 4.5kN applied for ten second





#### B.4.5.2 Loading Procedure (continued)

#### First Sequence (continued)

10. Shoot Bolt (head of master leaf)

Standard loading case used: 5

Load applied in plane: 1.5kN along the edge in the direction to disengage the bolt Load applied perpendicular to plane: 4.5kN applied for ten seconds

11. Roller (head of slave leaf)

Standard loading case used: 11

Load applied in plane: 1.5kN at right angles to the edge and towards the opposite edge Load applied perpendicular to plane: 4.5kN applied for ten seconds

12. Shoot Bolt (head of slave leaf)

Standard loading case used: 5

Load applied in plane: 1.5kN along the edge in the direction to disengage the bolt Load applied perpendicular to plane: 4.5kN applied for ten seconds

13. Hinge (upper slave to slave leaves)

Standard loading case used: 2

Load applied in plane: 1.5kN at right angles to the edge and towards the opposite edge 1.5kN to oppose the above load Load applied perpendicular to plane: 4.5kN applied for 10 seconds

14. Hinge (upper slave to slave leaves)

Standard loading case used: 2

Load applied in plane: 1.5kN at right angles to the edge and towards the opposite edge 1.5kN to oppose the above load Load applied perpendicular to plane: 4.5kN applied for 10 seconds



#### B.4.5.2 Loading Procedure (continued)

#### First Sequence (continued)

15. Hinge (centre slave to slave leaves)

Standard loading case used: 2

Load applied in plane: 1.5kN at right angles to the edge and towards the opposite edge 1.5kN to oppose the above load Load applied perpendicular to plane: 4.5kN applied for 10 seconds

16. Hinge (lower slave to slave leaves)

Standard loading case used: 2

Load applied in plane: 1.5kN at right angles to the edge and towards the opposite edge 1.5kN to oppose the above load Load applied perpendicular to plane: 4.5kN applied for 10 seconds

17. Hinge (lower slave to slave leaves)

Standard loading case used: 2

Load applied in plane: 1.5kN at right angles to the edge and towards the opposite edge 1.5kN to oppose the above load Load applied perpendicular to plane: 4.5kN applied for 10 seconds

18. Shoot Bolt (threshold of slave leaf)

Standard loading case used: 5

Load applied in plane: 1.5kN along the edge in the direction to disengage the bolt Load applied perpendicular to plane: 4.5kN applied for ten seconds



#### Performance Requirements (Continued).

#### Assessment

#### B.4.5.2 Loading Procedure (continued)

#### First Sequence (continued)

19. Hinge (lower left jamb)

Standard loading case used: 1

Load applied in plane: 1.5kN at right angles to the edge and towards the opposite edge Load applied perpendicular to plane: 4.5kN applied for ten seconds

20. Hinge (lower left jamb)

Standard loading case used: 1

Load applied in plane: 1.5kN at right angles to the edge and towards the opposite edge Load applied perpendicular to plane: 4.5kN applied for ten seconds

21. Hinge (centre left jamb)

Standard loading case used: 1

Load applied in plane: 1.5kN at right angles to the edge and towards the opposite edge Load applied perpendicular to plane: 4.5kN applied for ten seconds

22. Hinge (upper left jamb)

Standard loading case used: 1

Load applied in plane: 1.5kN at right angles to the edge and towards the opposite edge Load applied perpendicular to plane: 4.5kN applied for ten seconds

23. Hinge (upper left jamb)

Standard loading case used: 1

Load applied in plane: 1.5kN at right angles to the edge and towards the opposite edge Load applied perpendicular to plane: 4.5kN applied for ten seconds

No entry gained

Pass

Date of test – 20 October 2020 Test engineer(s) – Errol Creary, Dave Vinyard and Jack Nicholls Laboratory temperature – 20.9°C



### Performance Requirements (Continued).

#### B.4.3 Manipulation Test B

No fixings were exposed during mechanical loading.

Date of test – 20 October 2020 Test engineer(s) – Errol Creary, Dave Vinyard and Jack Nicholls Laboratory temperature – 20.9°C

#### Assessment





## Performance Requirements (Continued).

#### Clause B.4.8 Soft Body Impact Test

The sample was mounted, vertically and square, in the test rig as described in B.3.1

The test was carried out in accordance with the requirements, objectives and procedures detailed in B.4.8.1 using the impact points and procedure described in B.4.8.2 and B.4.8.3 and Figure B.10.

#### Diagram of points of application of loads





### Performance Requirements (Continued).

Assessment

#### Clause B.4.8 Soft Body Impact Test

Impact point	Position from floor level	Effect
1	0.80m	None
2	0.80m	None
3	0.80m	None
4	1.25m	None
5	1.25m	None
6	1.25m	None
7	1.70m	None
8	1.70m	None
9	1.70m	None

No entry gained

Date of test – 20 October 2020 Test engineer(s) – Errol Creary, Dave Vinyard and Jack Nicholls Laboratory temperature – 20.9°C





Performance Requirements (Continued).

## Clause B.4.9 Hard Body Impact Test

The sample was mounted, vertically and square, in the test rig as described in B.3.1.

The test was carried out in accordance with the requirements, objectives and procedures detailed in B.4.9.1, B.4.9.2.1, B.4.9.2.2 and B.4.9.2.3 using procedure B.4.9.3, using the test apparatus detailed in B.11 and using the impact sequence in figure B.14.



Clause B.4.9 Hard Body Impact Test (continued) Assessmen			
Impact point	Position	Effect	
1	Corner / Hinge	None	
2	Hinge	None	
3	Hinge	None	
4	Hinge	None	
5	Corner / Hinge	None	
6	Corner	None	
7	Corner / Roller	None	
8	Hook Bolt	None	
9	Cylinder	None	
10	Dead Bolt	None	
11	Hook Bolt	None	
12	Corner / Shoot	None	
13	Corner / Roller	None	
14	Corner / Hinge	None	
15	Corner / Shoot	None	
16	Hinge	None	
17	Hinge	None	
18	Hinge	None	
19	Corner / Hinge	None	
20	Corner / Shoot	None	

#### No entry gained

Date of test – 20 October 2020 Test engineer(s) – Errol Creary, Dave Vinyard and Jack Nicholls Laboratory temperature –  $20.9^{\circ}C$ 



#### Performance Requirements (Continued).

Assessment

#### Clause B.4.6 Manual Check Test

The sample was mounted, vertically and square, in the test rig as described in B.3.1.

The test was carried out in accordance with the given objectives of this clause using the procedure detailed in B.4.6.3 and the tools described in B.4.6.2.

No one technique was used for more than three minutes.

No alternative method of entry could be found.

Date of test – 20 October 2020 Test engineer(s) – Errol Creary, Dave Vinyard and Jack Nicholls Laboratory temperature – 20.9°C

#### Clause B.4.7 Additional Mechanical Loading Test

Not applicable – no alternative method of entry found during manual check testing.

Date of test – 20 October 2020 Test engineer(s) – Errol Creary, Dave Vinyard and Jack Nicholls Laboratory temperature –  $20.9^{\circ}C$ 



Performance Requirements (Continued).

Assessment

#### Annex A Security Hardware and Cylinder Test

#### Annex A.3.2 (Part 1)

The sample was mounted, vertically and square, in the test rig as described in Clause 3.1.

The test was carried out in accordance with the given objectives of this Annex using the procedure detailed in Annex A.3.1 and the tools described in A.2.

Mole grips were used to remove the handle and snap the cylinder.

No entry gained within three minutes.

Date of test – 20 October 2020 Test engineer(s) – Errol Creary, Dave Vinyard and Jack Nicholls Laboratory temperature – 20.9°C

#### Annex A.3.2 (Part 2)

Not assessed due to cylinder damage.



## Test Sample.

Sample Id	ER Number	Description
1	10193629	Aluminium bi-folding doorsets

## Description of Test Samples.

Sample Description	
--------------------	--

2 off three leaf open in glaze in bi-fold door assembly with full glass infill and standard threshold

## Test Requirements.

BS:4873 & PAS:24 door direct test

Clause	Requirements
As required	BS:4873 & PAS:24 door direct test

## Glossary of Terms.

PASS: Complies. Tested by BSI engineers at BSI laboratories.

PASS1: Complies. Witnessed by BSI engineers in manufacturers laboratory.

PASS2: Complies. Tests carried out by third party lab; results accepted by BSI.

PASS\*: Report resulted in uncertainty and states that Compliance is more probable than non-compliance.

FAIL: Noncompliance – Product does not meet the requirements of this clause.

FAIL\*: Report resulted in uncertainty and states that Non-compliance is more probable than compliance.

N/A: Not applicable to design under consideration.

N/T: Not tested due to similarity to previously tested item; reference earlier test report.



## Conditions of Issue.

This Test Report is issued subject to the conditions stated in current issue of 'BSI Terms of Service'. The results contained herein apply only to the particular sample(s) tested and to the specific tests carried out, as detailed in this Test Report. The issuing of this Test Report does not indicate any measure of Approval, Certification, Supervision, Control or Surveillance by BSI of any product. No extract, abridgement or abstraction from a Test Report may be published or used to advertise a product without the written consent of BSI, who reserve the absolute right to agree or reject all or any of the details of any items or publicity for which consent may be sought.

Should you wish to speak with BSI in relation to this report, please contact Customer Services on +44 (0)8450 80 9000.

BSI Kitemark House Maylands Avenue Hemel Hempstead Hertfordshire HP2 4SQ



Opinions and Interpretations expressed herein are outside the scope of our UKAS accreditation.

Unless otherwise stated, any results not obtained from testing in a BSI laboratory are outside the scope of our UKAS accreditation.

\*\*\*End of Report\*\*\*